

SNDP Committee

Minutes of the meeting held at the Village Hall

On Tuesday 22nd August 2017

PRESENT: Karen Edwards (KE) Yvonne Taylor (Minutes)

Tony Glover (ToG) John Golding (JFG)

John Vale (JV) Ian Weaver (IW)

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE: received from Jo Gilford, Jay Holliday, Vanessa Lee

In the absence of the Chairman, it was agreed that John Vale chair the meeting

1. GOVERNANCE

1.1 Declaration and nature of interest

None

1. MINUTES

2.1 Approval of minutes held on August 3, 2017

JFG thought the proposed amendment at 4.1 ref ‘SNDP/SPC meeting’ were not said as no such meeting had been arranged/agreed.

However, ToG proposed that the amendment should stand, seconded by IW; with two votes for the proposal, 1 against and two abstentions the proposal was carried.

KE withdrew her proposed amendment as enough time had already been spent on these Minutes.

It was proposed by IW, seconded by ToG that the Minutes with the agreed amendments be adopted; there being two for the proposal and three abstentions, the amended Minutes were approved.

2.2 Approval of the Minutes of the meeting held on August 15 2017

JFG proposed an amendment to record ToG’s supposed statement for thirty car parking spaces and as many houses as the developer thought appropriate to justify them. In reply ToG objected to the proposal as he did not state the above. There being no seconder the amendment was withdrawn. JFG noted that he had abstained and proposed this item should be amended to reflect this, seconded by ToG.

There were no other amendments, it was proposed by JFG, seconded by IW that the minutes be approved; all being in favour the amended minutes were adopted.

1. MATTERS ARISING

3.1 Training I Code of Conduct and Standing Orders – to be deferred

3.2 Two responses have been received following the article in the Parish Magazine, both of which had been acknowledged.

4 BUSINESS ARISING

4.1 Site update

4.1.1 By the School

Detailed responses had been received from the developers in reply to JFG's emailed questions; shared private drive and street lighting would be responsibility of a Management Company, the layout is illustrative only: there could be affordable housing (eg plots 3,4,5,6) when terms are agreed by DDC; there is land available for additional car parking if required. JV said there was a need to be assured that what is proposed is delivered. KE would like clarification of 'additional land for car parking' and asked if Highways OK with entrance location of this plot?

4.1.2 Silverbirch

JV reported that, following an exchange of emails, Jo Draper suggested that his architects be contacted direct, so he (JV) and JFG had met the developers' architects who agreed some amendments to their proposals, revising the layout. Proposal was for three 2-bed bungalows and one 2-bed house (all affordable housing) and three 3-bed houses, plus four -2 bed houses. KE queried the increase in the number of houses from 10, as previously agreed to 11; JV confirmed the policy is to stick to 10. JFG reported that developers had said Management Association would be responsible for street lighting and highways; JV confirmed the developers draft proposals should keep car parking area, and stated that a red line would be drawn around the site and shown on the confines map if the site was adopted by the SNDP. JFG would ask developers for confirmation on their position on agreeing to Heads of Terms for any development on the site.

4.1.3 Braunston Lane

No further reply had been received from developers. JFG was requested to ask developers to consider scheme for houses to frontage onto Braunston Lane. JV said that in all cases SNDP must try to get what it wanted by the date it wanted it.

4.1.4 The Croft: no further exchange of emails.

4.1.5 The Beeches

JV reported that the owners had contacted Highways who have asked for a map of the proposal. KE expressed her opposition to any access from Well Lane.

After much discussion, ToG proposed that on September 7th the SNDP committee designate sites in accordance with the Neighbourhood Plan; the information to be circulated to the PC on September 8th. Barring serious unforeseen circumstances which could affect this decision, the PC be asked to ratify the SNDP decision at the meeting on September 12th; SNDP would be present at the meeting to answer any queries. JV seconded this proposal and all were in favour. The proposal was carried.

4.2 Documents for adoption

4.2.1 Consultation Statement –deferred

4.2.2 Parish Questionnaire Statement –adopted

4.2.3 Housing Needs Survey –adopted

4.2.4 Housing Needs Statement (KE)

JFG proposed adoption, ToG seconded, all in favour

4.2.5 Site Assessment Statement & Site Proposals Map

4.2.6 Village Confines Statement-adopted

4.2.7 Village Views Statement – awaiting one further photograph

4.4 Staverton Neighbourhood Development Plan

Comments 1 and 5 awaiting DDC wording

Comment 6 – deferred, for JV to provide photograph, ToG to action

Comment 8 – deferred, for JV to action. ToG to action post site selection etc.

5 CORRESPONDENCE

None apart from Magazine Letter

6 PARISH MAGAZINE –October

Deferred until mid-September deadline.

7 FINANCE

KE reported that further funding would be available beyond the fourth tranche which had to be spent by March 2018

8 PROJECT PLAN/ACTION PLAN – deferred

9 MEETINGS SCHEDULE:

24th August 7.30pm at Village Hall

29th August 7.15pm at Village Hall

31st August 7.15 at Village Hall

5th September 7.30pm Village Hall – Parish Council

7th September 7.15pm at Village Hall

12th September 7.15pm Village Hall

26th September 7.15pm at Village Hall

There being no other business, the meeting closed at 9.45pm